

**Lecture 5**  
 **$O(N)$ -model:  $1/N$ -expansion**

Consider the general  $O(N)$ -model in the Minkowski space:

$$S[\mathbf{n}] = \frac{1}{2g} \int d^2x (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n})^2, \quad \mathbf{n}^2 = 1. \quad (1)$$

It is convenient to introduce an auxiliary field  $\omega(x)$  and write down the action in the form

$$S[\mathbf{n}, \omega] = \frac{1}{2g} \int d^2x ((\partial_\mu \mathbf{n})^2 - \omega(\mathbf{n}^2 - 1)), \quad (2)$$

where now the vector  $\mathbf{n}$  runs through any values in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Consider the functional integral

$$Z[J] = \int D\omega D\mathbf{n} e^{iS[\mathbf{n}, \omega] + ig^{-1/2} \int d^2x \mathbf{J}\mathbf{n}}. \quad (3)$$

The integral over  $\mathbf{n}$  is Gaussian. Take it. Notice that

$$iS[\mathbf{n}, \omega] + ig^{-1/2} \int d^2x \mathbf{J}\mathbf{n} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n_i}{g^{1/2}}, K(\omega) \delta_{ij} \frac{n_j}{g^{1/2}} \right) + \left( iJ_i, \frac{n_i}{g^{1/2}} \right) + i \int d^2x \frac{\omega}{2g},$$

where

$$K(\omega) = i(\partial_\mu^2 + \omega).$$

From this we obtain

$$Z[J] = \int D\omega (\det(\partial_\mu^2 + \omega))^{-N/2} \exp \left( i \int d^2x \frac{\omega}{2g} - \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2x' J_i(x) G(x, x'|\omega) J_i(x') \right),$$

where  $G(x, x'|\omega)$  is the solution of the equation

$$i(\partial_\mu^2 + \omega(x))G(x, x'|\omega) = \delta(x - x'). \quad (4)$$

Otherwise, the generating functional can be rewritten in the form

$$Z[J] = \int D\omega \exp \left( iS_{\text{eff}}[\omega] - \frac{1}{2} \int d^2x d^2x' J_i(x) G(x, x'|\omega) J_i(x') \right), \quad (5)$$

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\omega] = i\frac{N}{2} \text{tr} \log(\partial_\mu^2 + \omega) + \int d^2x \frac{\omega}{2g}. \quad (6)$$

Find the saddle point of this integral as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ . Suppose the saddle point corresponds to

$$\omega(x) = \text{const} = \omega_0.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \log(\partial_\mu^2 + \omega_0) &= V \int \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \log(\omega_0 - k^2 - i0) \\ &= iV \int_E \frac{d^2k}{(2\pi)^2} \log(\omega_0 + k^2) \\ &= \frac{iV}{2\pi} \int_0^\Lambda dk k \log(\omega_0 + k^2) = \frac{iV}{4\pi} \int_{\omega_0}^{\omega_0 + \Lambda^2} du \log u = \frac{iV}{4\pi} \left[ u \log \frac{u}{e} \right]_{\omega_0}^{\omega_0 + \Lambda^2} \\ &= \frac{iV}{4\pi} \left( (\omega_0 + \Lambda^2) \log \frac{\omega_0 + \Lambda^2}{e} - \omega_0 \log \frac{\omega_0}{e} \right) = \frac{iV}{4\pi} \left( \omega_0 \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{\omega_0} + \Lambda^2 \log \frac{\omega_0 + \Lambda^2}{e} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where  $\Lambda$  is an ultraviolet cutoff parameter. Under the logarithm sign, we neglected  $\omega_0$  in the expression  $\omega_0 + \Lambda^2$  in the first term. We find

$$0 = \frac{dS[\omega_0]}{d\omega_0} = V \left( -\frac{N}{8\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{\omega_0} + \frac{1}{2g} \right).$$

From this we obtain

$$\omega_0 = m^2 = \Lambda^2 \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi}{Ng}\right). \quad (8)$$

We see that in the limit  $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$  also  $g$  should be tended to zero, in such a way that the value  $\omega_0 = m^2$  remains finite. For beta-functions at large  $N$  we find

$$\frac{dg}{d \log \Lambda} = \beta(g) = -\frac{N}{2\pi} g^2. \quad (9)$$

It is important that, the parameter  $m$  of the dimension of mass arises in the theory. We will see now that this is indeed a mass. In the theory a *dynamic mass generation* takes place. At no scales the correlation functions will decrease in a power-law manner, and the presence of a dimensional parameter will be noticeable in correlation functions at any scales.

Let us now develop the perturbation theory in the parameter  $1/N$ . Represent  $\omega(x)$  in the form

$$\omega(x) = m^2 + (2/N)^{1/2} \rho(x). \quad (10)$$

and expand the effective action in powers of  $N^{-1/2} \rho(x)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\text{eff}}[\omega] &= \text{const} + i \frac{N}{2} \text{tr} \log \left( 1 + (2/N)^{1/2} \rho (\partial_\mu^2 + m^2)^{-1} \right) + \frac{1}{(2N)^{1/2} g} \text{tr} \rho \\ &= \text{const} + i \frac{N}{2} \text{tr} \log(1 + i(2/N)^{1/2} \rho G) + \frac{1}{(2N)^{1/2} g} \text{tr} \rho \\ &= \text{const} + \left( \frac{1}{(2N)^{1/2} g} \text{tr} \rho - \left( \frac{N}{2} \right)^{1/2} \text{tr} \rho G \right) - i \frac{N}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n (2/N)^{n/2}}{n} \text{tr}(\rho G)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $G$  is the operator with the kernel  $G(x, x') = G(x, x' | m^2)$ .

The parenthesis in the last expression is equal to zero under the assumption that  $\omega = m^2$  is a minimum. Let us check this assumption. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} \rho &= \int d^2 x \rho(x), \\ \text{tr} \rho G &= \int d^2 x \rho(x) G(x, x) = G(0, 0) \int d^2 x \rho(x) = G(0, 0) \text{tr} \rho \\ &= V \int \frac{d^2 k}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{i}{k^2 - m^2 + i0} \text{tr} \rho = \frac{V}{4\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2} \text{tr} \rho = (gN)^{-1} \text{tr} \rho. \end{aligned}$$

We see that indeed the parenthesis vanishes. By studying the next contribution ( $n = 2$ ) one can make sure that the point  $\omega = m^2$  is a local minimum. There is no way to prove rigorously that this minimum is absolute.

Finally we have

$$S_{\text{eff}}[\omega] = \text{const} - i \frac{N}{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{(-i)^n (2/N)^{n/2}}{n} \int d^{2n} x \rho(x_1) G(x_1, x_2) \dots \rho(x_n) G(x_n, x_1). \quad (11)$$

The expansion starts from a quadratic term of the form

$$\frac{i}{2} \int d^2 x_1 d^2 x_2 \rho(x_1) G(x_1, x_2) \rho(x_2) G(x_2, x_1).$$

Therefore, the propagator  $D(x_1, x_2)$  of the field  $\rho(x)$  is the kernel of the operator inverse to that with the kernel

$$D^{-1}(x_1, x_2) = G(x_1, x_2) G(x_2, x_1).$$

Now it is clear why we needed the factor  $(2/N)^{1/2}$  before  $\rho$ . It allowed us to get rid of the coefficient  $2/N$  in the propagator  $D(x_1, x_2)$ .

Passing to the momentum representation, we obtain

$$D(k) = \text{-----} \overset{k}{\text{-----}} = - \left( \int \frac{d^2q}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{(q^2 - m^2 + i0)((q+k)^2 - m^2 + i0)} \right)^{-1}. \quad (12)$$

In addition, the operator  $G(x, x'|\omega)$ , which in (5), should also be expanded in  $\rho(x)$ :

$$G[\omega] = \frac{1}{G^{-1} + i(2/N)^{1/2}\rho} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^{n/2} G(\rho G)^n,$$

$$G(x_1, x_2|\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^{n/2} \int d^{2n}y G(x_1, y_1)\rho(y_1)G(y_1, y_2) \dots \rho(y_n)G(y_n, x_2).$$

Represent  $G(x_1, x_2)$  by a solid line:

$$G_{ij}(p) = \overset{p}{\text{-----}} \underset{j}{\text{-----}} = G(p)\delta_{ij} = \frac{i\delta_{ij}}{p^2 - m^2 + i0}. \quad (13)$$

If we also introduce the vertex

$$\overset{\text{---}}{\underset{\text{---}}{\text{---}}} \overset{j}{\text{---}} = -i \left(\frac{2}{N}\right)^{1/2} \delta_{ij}, \quad (14)$$

the following rules of the diagram technique can be formulated:

1. A diagram consists of dashed lines (12), solid lines (13) and vertices (14).
2. The outer lines of a diagram can only be solid lines corresponding to the massive particles  $\varphi_i = g^{-1/2}n_i$ .
3. Closed loops of solid lines must contain at least three vertices.

We see that in this formulation the diagram technique does not contain the coupling constant  $g$  at all. The order of the diagram in  $1/N$  is equal to  $\frac{1}{2}V - L$ , where  $V$  is the number of vertices, and  $L$  is the number of loops of solid lines. From the rule 3 it follows that the order of the diagram is always positive.

The relation between the coupling constant  $g$ , the mass  $m$ , and the cutoff parameter  $\Lambda$  can be refined using the relation

$$\left\langle \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_i^2(x) \right\rangle = \frac{1}{g}.$$

For example, in the order  $1/N$  one can obtain

$$m^2 = \Lambda^2 \exp\left(-\frac{4\pi}{(N-2)g'}\right), \quad \frac{1}{g'} = \frac{1}{g} + \frac{\Lambda^2}{4\pi m^2 \log(\Lambda^2/m^2)}. \quad (15)$$

The correction to the inverse coupling constant is the contribution of the specific sigma-model quadratic divergence. The divergence becomes logarithmic, if we add to the action (2) a term of the form  $\alpha \int d^2x \omega^2$ , which ‘‘blurs’’ the delta-function in the functional integral.

Let us try now to calculate the  $S$ -matrix of the  $O(N)$ -model. Let us examine kinematics first. We have  $N$  particles of mass  $m$ . Let two such particles with momenta  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  be scattered on each other, forming two new particles of the same mass with momenta  $p'_1$  and  $p'_2$ . It is convenient to parameterize the momenta  $p_a$  by rapidities  $\theta_a$ :

$$p_a = m \text{sh } \theta_a, \quad p'_a = m \text{sh } \theta'_a.$$

Then

$$m \text{ch } \theta_1 + m \text{ch } \theta_2 = m \text{ch } \theta'_1 + m \text{ch } \theta'_2,$$

$$m \text{sh } \theta_1 + m \text{sh } \theta_2 = m \text{sh } \theta'_1 + m \text{sh } \theta'_2.$$

These equations have just two solutions:  $\theta'_1 = \theta_1$ ,  $\theta'_2 = \theta_2$  and  $\theta'_1 = \theta_2$ ,  $\theta'_2 = \theta_1$ . The scattering matrix of two particles into two can be presented as

$$S_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta_1, \theta_2; \theta'_1, \theta'_2) = (2\pi)^2 \delta(p'_1 - p_1) \delta(p'_2 - p_2) S_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) + (2\pi)^2 \delta(p'_2 - p_1) \delta(p'_1 - p_2) S_{ij}^{j'i'}(\theta_1 - \theta_2).$$

To properly normalize, one has to convert the delta-functions to the standard form of the delta-function over space-time momenta:

$$S_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta_1, \theta_2; \theta'_1, \theta'_2) = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(P' - P) \frac{\text{sh}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{\text{ch} \theta_1 \text{ch} \theta_2} S_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \\ = (2\pi)^2 \delta^{(2)}(P' - P) \frac{4m^2 \text{sh}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{4\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2} S_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta_1 - \theta_2),$$

where  $P^\mu = p_1^\mu + p_2^\mu$ ,  $P'^\mu = p_1'^\mu + p_2'^\mu$ . Therefore, in the standard notation

$$S_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta_1 - \theta_2) = \delta_i^{i'} \delta_j^{j'} + \frac{M_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)}{4m^2 \text{sh}(\theta_1 - \theta_2)},$$

where the  $M_{ij}$  amplitude is calculated according the Feynman rules.

The compatibility condition with the  $O(N)$ -symmetry gives

$$S_{ij}^{i'j'}(\theta) = \delta_{i'j'} \delta_{ij} S_1(\theta) + \delta_{i'i} \delta_{j'j} S_2(\theta) + \delta_{j'i} \delta_{i'j} S_3(\theta). \quad (16)$$

In the order  $1/N$ , the matrix elements are given by the following diagrams:

$$4m^2 \text{sh} \theta S_1(\theta) = \begin{array}{c} p_1 \\ \diagdown \\ \text{---} \\ \diagup \\ p_2 \end{array} \text{---} \text{---} \begin{array}{c} p_1 \\ \diagup \\ \text{---} \\ \diagdown \\ p_2 \end{array},$$

$$4m^2 \text{sh} \theta (S_2(\theta) - 1) = \begin{array}{c} p_1 \text{---} p_1 \\ | \\ p_2 \text{---} p_2 \end{array},$$

$$4m^2 \text{sh} \theta S_3(\theta) = \begin{array}{c} p_1 \text{---} p_2 \\ | \\ p_2 \text{---} p_1 \end{array}.$$

To calculate these diagrams, we need an explicit formula for  $D(k)$ . It has the form

$$D^{-1}(k) = \frac{i}{2\pi k^2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{k^2}}} \log \frac{\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{k^2}} + 1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{k^2}} - 1}. \quad (17)$$

This cumbersome formula becomes quite elementary in the parameterization

$$k^2 = -4m^2 \text{sh}^2 \frac{\theta}{2}. \quad (18)$$

Note that the angle  $\theta$  in this parameterization coincides with  $\theta_1 - \theta_2$  in the case of the diagram for  $S_3$ . We have

$$D(k) = 4\pi i m^2 \frac{\text{sh} \theta}{\theta}. \quad (19)$$

Substituting these expressions into the diagrams, we obtain

$$S_1(\theta) = -\frac{2\pi i}{N(i\pi - \theta)}, \\ S_2(\theta) = 1 - \frac{2\pi i}{N \text{sh} \theta}, \\ S_3(\theta) = -\frac{2\pi i}{N\theta}. \quad (20)$$

## Bibliography

- [1] A. B. Zamolodchikov, Al. B. Zamolodchikov, *Annals Phys.* **120** (1979) 253.
- [2] A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge fields and strings*, CRC Press, 1987.
- [3] A. M. Tselik, *Quantum field theory in condensed matter physics*, Cambridge University Press, 2003.

## Problems

1. Obtain formulas (17) and (19).
2. The Gross–Neveu model for the  $N$ -component Majorana (i.e. real in the representation with purely imaginary  $\gamma$ -matrices) Fermi-field is defined by the action

$$S[\psi] = \int d^2x \left( \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_i + \frac{g}{8} (\bar{\psi}_i \psi_i)^2 \right)$$

(summation is assumed over repeated indices; in a representation with purely imaginary gamma-matrices we have  $\bar{\psi} = \psi^T \gamma^0$ ).

Show that this model is equivalent to the model with an auxiliary boson field

$$S[\psi, \omega] = \int d^2x \left( \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_i (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - \omega(x)) \psi_i - \frac{\omega^2(x)}{2g} \right).$$

Demonstrate that dynamic mass generation takes place in the model with

$$\omega_0 = m = \Lambda \exp \left( -\frac{2\pi}{Ng} \right).$$

3. Construct a diagrammatic technique for the  $1/N$ -decomposition in the Gross-Neveu model. Find the  $S$ -matrix in the tree approximation.
4. Consider the model with the weakened condition for  $\mathbf{n}^2$ :

$$S[\mathbf{n}] = \frac{1}{2g} \int d^2x \left( (\partial_\mu \mathbf{n})^2 - \frac{2\mu^2}{g} (\mathbf{n}^2 - 1)^2 \right), \tag{21}$$

where  $\mu$  is the constant with the dimension of mass. Find the mass of excitations in the model (21) in the leading order. Show that in the limit  $\mu \rightarrow \infty$  the model tends to the sigma-model (1).

- 5\*. Find the mass of excitation in the model from Problem 5 to Lecture 4 in the leading order in  $1/N$ .