

Grinevich Petr Georgievich

Lecture notes

Conformal geometry and Riemann surfaces

Lecture notes were prepared by the student of the mathematics and
mechanics faculty
Raev Aleksey Alekseevich

Lecture 12

Action of vector fields at the fermionic Dirac space. The Virasoro algebra as central extension of the Witt algebra

Assume that we have a compact Riemann surface Γ with a marked point P_0 , local parameter z near P_0 , $z(P_0) = 0$ and the contour $\gamma: |z| = 1$ surrounding P_0 .

Consider the following basis of j - tensors near γ

$$f_n = z^n (dz)^j$$

and the Witt algebra of vector fields on γ

$$v_k = z^{k+1} \partial_z.$$

with the commutators

$$\begin{aligned} [v_k, v_l] &= (z^{k+1} \partial_z) \cdot (z^{l+1} \partial_z) - (z^{l+1} \partial_z) \cdot (z^{k+1} \partial_z) = \\ &= z^{k+l+2} \partial_z^2 + (l+1)z^{k+l+1} \partial_z - z^{k+l+2} \partial_z^2 + (k+1)z^{k+l+1} \partial_z = \\ &= (l-k)z^{k+l+1} \partial_z = (l-k)v_{k+l}. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Denote by l_k , $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the Lie derivative of the basic tensors with respect to the vector field v_k :

$$l_k f_n = L_{v_k} f_n,$$

therefore

$$l_k f_n := (n + j(k+1)) f_{k+n}. \quad (2)$$

Lemma 1. *Operators l_p form the Witt algebra with the commutation relations:*

$$[l_p, l_q] = (q-p)l_{p+q}, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} [l_p, l_q] f_n &= l_p l_q f_n - l_q l_p f_n = l_p(n + j(q+1)) f_{n+q} - l_q(n + j(p+1)) f_{n+p} = \\ &= (n + q + j(p+1))(n + j(q+1)) f_{n+p+q} - (n + p + j(q+1))(n + j(p+1)) f_{n+p+q} = \\ &= [\cancel{n^2} + nq + \cancel{jn(q+1)} + jq(q+1) + \cancel{jn(p+1)} + \cancel{j^2(p+1)(q+1)} - \\ &\quad - \cancel{n^2} - np - \cancel{jn(p+1)} - jp(p+1) - \cancel{jn(q+1)} - \cancel{j^2(q+1)(p+1)}] f_{n+p+q} = \\ &= [n(q-p) + j(q^2 - p^2 + q - p)] f_{n+p+q} = (q-p)[n + j(q+p+1)] f_{n+p+q} = (q-p)l_{q+p} f_n. \end{aligned}$$

□

The action can be naturally extended to finite wedge products of the basic elements using the Leibniz formula:

$$l_k(\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) = (l_k \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge (l_k \omega_2). \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2. *Extension of the action (2) to finite wedge products respects the commutation relations.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
& l_p \left(l_q (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \right) - l_q \left(l_p (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2) \right) = \\
& = l_p \left((l_q \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge (l_q \omega_2) \right) - l_q \left((l_p \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge (l_p \omega_2) \right) = \\
& = (l_p l_q \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + \cancel{(l_q \omega_1) \wedge (l_p \omega_2)} + \cancel{(l_p \omega_1) \wedge (l_q \omega_2)} + \omega_1 \wedge (l_p l_q \omega_2) - \\
& - (l_q l_p \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 - \cancel{(l_p \omega_1) \wedge (l_q \omega_2)} - \cancel{(l_q \omega_1) \wedge (l_p \omega_2)} + \omega_1 \wedge (l_p l_q \omega_2) = \\
& = (l_p l_q \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge (l_p l_q \omega_2) - (l_q l_p \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 - \omega_1 \wedge (l_q l_p \omega_2) = \\
& = ([l_p, l_q] \omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 + \omega_1 \wedge ([l_p, l_q] \omega_2) = [l_p, l_q] (\omega_1 \wedge \omega_2).
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let us recall that the wedge product is skew-symmetric

$$f_j \wedge f_k = -f_k \wedge f_j.$$

In particular, if a form contains the same multiplier f_k more than once, it is equal to zero.

The Dirac-type fermionic Fock space is generated by the following “stable” semi-infinite wedge products:

$$\omega = f_{k_1} \wedge f_{k_2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{k_s} \wedge |N \rangle,$$

where $|N \rangle$ denotes the semi-infinite wedge product of all basic tensors f_k with $k \geq N$ sorted in the ascending order, ω denotes any **finite** wedge product of monomials.

$$|N \rangle = f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge \dots, \quad \omega = f_{j_1} \wedge f_{j_2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{j_s}.$$

In particular, the vacuum vector $|0 \rangle$ is defined by:

$$|0 \rangle = f_0 \wedge f_1 \wedge f_2 \wedge f_3 \wedge f_4 \wedge f_5 \wedge \dots$$

Lemma 3. *The action of l_p with $p \neq 0$ on the semi-infinite forms is well-defined, moreover, if $p + q \neq 0$, the commutation relations remain unchanged.*

Proof. It is natural to define the action of the l_p on the basic elements by:

$$l_p (\omega \wedge |N \rangle) = l_p (\omega) \wedge |N \rangle + \omega \wedge (l_p \wedge |N \rangle),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
l_p |N \rangle & = l_p (f_N) \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge f_{N+3} \wedge \dots + \\
& + f_N \wedge (l_p f_{N+1}) \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge f_{N+3} \wedge \dots + \\
& + f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge (l_p f_{N+2}) \wedge f_{N+3} \wedge \dots + \\
& + f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge (l_p f_{N+3}) \wedge \dots + \dots = \\
& = (N + j(p + 1)) f_{N+p} \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge f_{N+3} \wedge \dots + \\
& + (N + 1 + j(p + 1)) f_N \wedge f_{N+p+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge f_{N+3} \wedge \dots + \\
& + (N + 2 + j(p + 1)) f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+p+2} \wedge f_{N+3} \wedge \dots + \\
& + (N + 3 + j(p + 1)) f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge f_{N+p+3} \wedge \dots + \dots
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

We see that for $p > 0$ all summands in contain repeating multipliers, therefore $l_p|N \rangle = 0$ for all $p > 0$. If $p < 0$, the first $-p$ summands in (5) are non-vanishing, and all other summands are equal to zero, therefore we have finite sums. Again, if we calculate the commutator $[l_p, l_q]$, $p + q \neq 0$ all sums are finite and the commutator is not affected.

□

The action of l_0 on the semi-infinite form is not defined, because it contains infinitely many non-zero terms, therefore it requires a regularization. We shall use the following one:

$$l_0 := \frac{1}{2}[l_{-1}, l_1]. \quad (6)$$

For finite products this regularization coincides with the original definition. Let us calculate the commutator on the semi-infinite forms.

Let $p > 0$. As we pointed out, $l_p|N \rangle = 0$, therefore

$$l_{-p}l_p|N \rangle = 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} l_{-p}|N \rangle &= (N + j(1 - p))f_{N-p} \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{N+p-1} \wedge |N + p \rangle + \\ &+ (N + 1 + j(1 - p))f_N \wedge f_{N-p+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{N+p-1} \wedge |N + p \rangle + \\ &+ (N + 2 + j(1 - p))f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N-p+2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{N+p-1} \wedge |N + p \rangle + \quad (7) \\ &\dots \\ &+ (N + p - 1 + j(1 - p))f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{N-1} \wedge |N + p \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We see that only first p terms in (7) do not contain repetitive factors, therefore we have a finite sum.

After applying l_p to each summand in (7) we obtain exactly one non-zero monomial:

$$\begin{aligned} l_p l_{-p}|N \rangle &= (l_p l_{-p} f_N) \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{N+p-1} \wedge |N + p \rangle + \\ &+ f_N \wedge (l_p l_{-p} f_{N+1}) \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge \dots \wedge f_{N+p-1} \wedge |N + p \rangle + \\ &+ f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge (l_p l_{-p} f_{N+2}) \wedge \dots \wedge f_{N+p-1} \wedge |N + p \rangle + \quad (8) \\ &\dots \\ &+ f_N \wedge f_{N+1} \wedge f_{N+2} \wedge \dots \wedge (l_p l_{-p} f_{N+p-1}) \wedge |N + p \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that

$$l_{-p}f_{N+s} = (N + s + j(1 - p))f_{N-p+s},$$

$$l_p f_{N-p+s} = (N + s - p + j(1 + p))f_{N+s},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} l_p l_{-p} f_{N+s} &= (N + s - p + j(1 + p))(N + s + j(1 - p))f_{N+s}, \\ l_p l_{-p}|N \rangle &= \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (N + s - p + j(1 + p))(N + s + j(1 - p))|N \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (N + s - p + j(1 + p))(N + s + j(1 - p)) = \\ & = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (N^2 + 2Ns + s^2 + 2j(N + s) + j^2(1 - p^2) - pN - ps - j(p - p^2)) \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Taking into account that

$$\sum_{s=0}^{p-1} 1 = p, \quad \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} s = \frac{p(p-1)}{2}, \quad \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} s^2 = \frac{p(p-1)(2p-1)}{6},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} (N + s - p + j(1 + p))(N + s + j(1 - p)) = \\ & = N^2p + Np(p-1) + \frac{p(p-1)(2p-1)}{6} + 2jNp + jp(p-1) + j^2p(1-p^2) - \\ & - p^2N - \frac{p^2(p-1)}{2} - j(p^2 - p^3) = \\ & = (N^2 - N + 2jN)p + j^2(p - p^3) + j(p^3 - p) - \frac{p(p-1)(p+1)}{6} = \\ & = (N^2 - N + 2jN)p - 2(6j^2 - j + 1) \frac{(p^3 - p)}{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let us denote

$$c_j = -2(6j^2 - 6j + 1),$$

c_j is called **central charge**. Then

$$[l_p, l_{-p}] |N\rangle = \left((N^2 - N + 2jN)p + c_j \frac{(p^3 - p)}{12} \right) |N\rangle. \quad (11)$$

In particular,

$$\frac{1}{2} [l_{-1}, l_1] |N\rangle = - \left(\frac{N^2 - N + 2jN}{2} \right) |N\rangle, \quad (12)$$

therefore

$$[l_p, l_{-p}] |N\rangle = -2pl_0 |N\rangle + c_j \frac{(p^3 - p)}{12} |N\rangle. \quad (13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [l_p, l_{-p}] (\omega \wedge |N\rangle) &= -2p(l_0\omega) \wedge |N\rangle - 2p\omega \wedge (l_0 |N\rangle) + c_j \frac{(p^3 - p)}{12} \omega \wedge |N\rangle = \\ &= -2pl_0 (\omega \wedge |N\rangle) + c_j \frac{(p^3 - p)}{12} (\omega \wedge |N\rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Finally we obtain:

Theorem 1. *The action of regularized generators l_p on the Dirac space generated by “stable” semi-infinite wedge products of basic tensors satisfy the Virasoro relations*

$$\begin{aligned} [l_p, l_q] &= (q - p)l_{p+q} + \frac{(p^3 - p)}{12} \delta_{p+q} c_j, \quad p, q \in \mathbb{Z} \\ [c_j, l_p] &= 0, \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where c_j is the operator of multiplication to following number, known as central charge.

$$c_j = -2(6j^2 - 6j + 1), \tag{16}$$

where j is the tensor weight of the basic forms.